	A.	NON WOTECTIO	100	
	Other	VA	Joan -	
- 101	FLO	RIDA	1	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



# COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/Ľ ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)
AIRS ID#: 1270012 DA	TE: <u>12/8/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:00</u>	DEPART: <u>12:05</u>
FACILITY NAME: NE	W SMYRNA BEACH READY-N	MIX PLANT	
FACILITY LOCATION	<b>5</b> 700 S DIXIE FREEWAY		
	NEW SMYRNA BEACH	32069	
Email:	D REPRESENTATIVE: SIGU IGURD BO DD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/201 (effective date) (end date)		PHONE:       (407)841-8409         Mobile:       (407)312-7119         PHONE:       (407)841-8409         Mobile:       (407)312-7119

# **Facility Section**

**PART I: INSPECTION** COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PA	ART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each	question)
	Brief Notes: Facility idle.		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO?	Xes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		⊠No □No

## **Emissions Unit Section**

<u>1 – CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp #1w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/79</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	Yes No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.       (check I only one box for each question)         Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards       (check I only one box for each question)				
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to c emissions by:</li> </ol>	ontrol unconfined			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one <ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when control emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control o owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airbo particulate matter?</li> <li>reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind e particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Yes     No       necessary to     Yes     No       of the     Yes     No       orne     Yes     No        Yes     No       ntrainment of     Yes     No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to	o the truck? Yes No			
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> </ul>				

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

### **Emissions Unit Section**

2 – CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp #2w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/79</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ined			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🗌 Yes	∐ No □ No		
<ul> <li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: <ul> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		

**Emissions Unit Section** <u>3 – CCB Plant-silo (flyash/slag) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/79</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiguration emissions by:	ined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		🗌 No
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of</li> </ul>		No No
particulate matter from stock piles?		🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	No No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		□ No □ No

### **Emissions Unit Section**

<u>4-CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/individual baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>11/28/79</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	Yes No
DADT IL EIELD ODSEDVATIONS Dulo 62 206 414(2) E A C	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Ya</u>	box for each question) ards
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contreemissions by:	ol unconfined
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or n</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when nece</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	Yes No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	e truck? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes No Yes No

# **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u> (	DNFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?       Yes       No         b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?       Yes       No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes No
2.	Does this facility include:
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? [] Yes [] No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?
2	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes Vision Visio
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 🔲 Yes
	$\underline{\text{gal diesel/yr}} + \underline{\text{gal gasoline/yr}} + \underline{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \underline{\text{MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr
4	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption
Τ.	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes Variable Va

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?[	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? [	Yes	No No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit? [	Yes	No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		_
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	No No

<b>RELOCATABLE PLANT:</b> 1. Is the facility: stationary 🖂; relocatable ]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable ]	(check 🗹 box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	ng question 2.)	)
<ol> <li>Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ol>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.9000]</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(	🗌 Yes	No No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	· -	🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?	e)? 📋 Yes	∐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Ves	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	[] Yes	
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•
Administrative Changes:		1,
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?		🖂 No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
<ol> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been         <ul> <li>Installation of any new process equipment?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		$\square$ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?		No No
d. A change in ownership?		🛛 No
4. If the answer to any question $3a - d$ is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	bmitted	
30 days prior to the change?	🗌 Yes	No No

Wanda Parker-Garvin

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Wanda Parker Barvin

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

12/8/11

**COMMENTS:** MS. WANDA PARKER-GARVIN VISITED THE SITE TO CONFIRM THE FACILITY WAS NOT IN OPERATION BASED ON A LETTER SENT BY SIGURD BO TO CENTRAL DISTRICT STATING THE FACILITY WAS NOT IN USE. DUE TO THE CURRENT MARKET CONDITIONS THIS PLANT WAS CLOSED IN EARLY 2010 AND REMAINS CLOSED. UPON REOPENING A VE WILL BE CONDUCTED WITHIN 30 DAYS.